

Greither-Pareigis theory, through the lens of algebraic geometry

Alan Koch

Agnes Scott College

May 21, 2018

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Schemes
- 3 An Example
- 4 Greither-Pareigis to schemes
- 5 Application to separable case
- 6 Application to inseparable case

Let L/K be a separable extension of fields, normal closure E .

1986. Greither and Pareigis showed how one can classify/construct Hopf-Galois structures on L/K .

Let $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$, $G' = \text{Gal}(E/L)$. Then one can classify Hopf-Galois structures by finding all regular subgroups $N \leq \text{Perm}(G/G')$ normalized by the action of conjugation by $\lambda(G) \leq \text{Perm}(G/G')$, where $\lambda(g)(xG') = (gx)G'$.

The beauty of their work is that it is entirely group theoretic.

Isomorphism Problems: Motivation I

Given $N \leq \text{Perm}(G/G')$, the Hopf algebra is $E[N]^G$, where G acts on E via Galois action and on N by $\lambda(G)$.

Suppose N_1, N_2 give Hopf-Galois structures.

- Under what conditions are the two Hopf algebras isomorphic?
TARP has a very satisfying answer.
- Under what conditions are the two Hopf algebras isomorphic as K -algebras?
In general, much harder.
Results are known in certain cases, e.g., N abelian.

Purely Inseparable Analogue: Motivation II

At this conference...

Childs, 2013: Is there a Greither-Pareigis theory for purely inseparable extensions?

K., 2014: No.

There exist purely inseparable extensions with an infinite number of Hopf-Galois structures.

K., 2018: Maybe.

It is possible that the theory which begat Greither-Pareigis can generate a similar, but different, purely inseparable theory as well.

Using simple algebraic geometry.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Schemes**
- 3 An Example
- 4 Greither-Pareigis to schemes
- 5 Application to separable case
- 6 Application to inseparable case

Algebraic Geometry: A Survivor's Guide

An *affine scheme* (over K) is a representable functor \mathcal{X} from K -algebras to sets.

There exists a (commutative) K -algebra A such that $\mathcal{X}(B) = \text{Alg}_K(A, B)$ for all K -algebras B . We say A *represents* \mathcal{X} and write $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$.

The category of affine schemes is anti-equivalent to the category of K -algebras.

Example

$$A = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 + x), \quad \mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$$

$$\mathcal{X}(B) = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 + x), B) \leftrightarrow \{b \in B : b^3 + b = 0\}.$$

So, e.g., $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \{0, i, -i\}$, and $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{(0, 0), (0, i), (0, -i), (i, 0), (i, i), (i, -i), (-i, 0), (-i, i), (-i, -i)\}$.

Algebraic Geometry: A Survivor's Guide II

An *affine group scheme* (over K) is a representable functor \mathcal{G} from K -algebras to groups.

If $\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(H)$ then H is a K -Hopf algebra whose coalgebra structure provides the group operation.

$$f, g \in \mathcal{G}(B) = \text{Alg}_K(H, B) \Rightarrow (f *_G g)(h) = \text{mult}(f \otimes g)\Delta(h), \quad h \in H.$$

Example

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(H), \quad H = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 - 1), \quad \Delta(x) = x \otimes x.$$

$$\mathcal{G}(B) = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 - 1), B) \leftrightarrow \{b \in B : b^3 - 1 = 0\}.$$

If $f(x) = a$, $g(x) = b$, $a, b \in B$ then

$$(f *_G g)(x) = \text{mult}(f \otimes g)\Delta(x) = f(x)g(x) = ab.$$

Thus the group operation is the usual multiplication in B .

Group Scheme Actions

We say a group scheme \mathcal{G} *acts* on a scheme \mathcal{X} if there is a morphism of schemes

$$\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

That is, for each K -algebra B there is a group action

$$\mathcal{G}(B) \times \mathcal{X}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(B), (g, x) \mapsto g * x.$$

If $\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(H)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$ this corresponds to a K -algebra map

$$A \rightarrow A \otimes_K H$$

which provides an H -comodule structure on A .

A Small Example of Everything

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^3 + 3t))$, $\Delta(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t + \frac{1}{2}t^2 \otimes t + \frac{1}{2}t \otimes t^2$.

Then, for a \mathbb{Q} -algebra B , $\mathcal{G}(B) = \{b \in B : b^3 + 3b = 0\}$ and

$$a +_{\mathcal{G}} b = a + b + \frac{1}{2}a^2b + \frac{1}{2}ab^2.$$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}))$.

Then \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{X} via

$$g * x = x + \frac{1}{2}gx + \frac{1}{2}g^2x, \quad g \in \mathcal{G}(B), x \in \mathcal{X}(B)$$

after identifying $\mathcal{G}(B), \mathcal{X}(B)$ as subsets of B .

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^3 + 3t)), \mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}))$$

Example

Let $B = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}(B) = \{b \in \mathbb{Q}(B) : b^3 + 3b = 0\} = \{0\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}(B) = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}), B) = \{1\}$$

and the action is trivial.

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^3 + 3t)), \mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}))$$

$$g +_{\mathcal{G}} h = g + h + \frac{1}{2}g^2h + \frac{1}{2}gh^2, \quad g * x = x + \frac{1}{2}gx + \frac{1}{2}g^2x$$

Example

Let E be the splitting field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ over \mathbb{Q} .

$$\mathcal{G}(E) = \{0, i\sqrt{3}, -i\sqrt{3}\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}(E) = \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}), E) \leftrightarrow \{\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{2}\}$$

where $\zeta \in E$ is a primitive cube root of unity. Then, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} i\sqrt{3} * \sqrt[3]{2} &= \sqrt[3]{2} + \frac{1}{2}(i\sqrt{3})(\sqrt[3]{2}) + \frac{1}{2}(i\sqrt{3})^2(\sqrt[3]{2}) \\ &= \sqrt[3]{2} \left(\frac{-1 - i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) = \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Principal Homogeneous Space

Suppose \mathcal{G} acts on \mathcal{X} . We say \mathcal{X} is a *principal homogenous space* if $\mathcal{X}(B) \neq \emptyset$ for some B and the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} &\rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \\ (g, x) &\mapsto (g * x, x), \quad g \in \mathcal{G}(B), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}(B)\end{aligned}$$

is a bijection for all K -algebras B .

If $\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec}(H)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$ the corresponding isomorphism of K -algebras is the map $A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ found in the definition of H -Galois object.

The action on the previous example makes \mathcal{X} a *PHS* for \mathcal{G} .

$$\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, (g, x) \rightarrow (g * x, x)$$

Suppose \mathcal{X} is a PHS for \mathcal{G} , and $f : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ be the bijection above.

Fix a K -algebra B , and write $G = \mathcal{G}(B)$, $X = \mathcal{X}(B)$.

f is injective. Suppose $g * x = x$ for some $g \in G, x \in X$. Then $f((g, x)) = (x, x) = f((1_G, x))$, so $g = 1_G$ and the stabilizer of any element of X is trivial.

f is surjective. Pick $x, y \in X$. There exists a $(g, z) \in G \times X$ such that $f((g, z)) = (y, x)$. Clearly, $z = x$ and $g * x = y$. Thus the action of G on X is transitive.

f is bijective. $|G| = \#X$.

This looks a lot like Greither-Pareigis theory.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Schemes
- 3 An Example**
- 4 Greither-Pareigis to schemes
- 5 Application to separable case
- 6 Application to inseparable case

The Extension

Let K be a field of characteristic 2.

Let $L = K(x)$ where $x^4 = x + 1$ and $[L : K] = 4$.

Then L/K is Galois with $G = \text{Gal}(L/K) = \langle g \rangle \cong C_4$, $g(x) = x^2 + 1$.

$L = K(x)$, $x^4 = x + 1$, $G = \langle g \rangle$, Regular Subgroup I

Let $N = \rho(G) \leq \text{Perm}(G)$ (here $\rho(g^i)[g^j] = g^{j-i}$ is right regular representation).

Then $H = L[\rho(G)]^G = K[\rho(G)] \cong K[G]$ since $\lambda(g^i)\rho(g^j) = \rho(g^j)\lambda(g^i)$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \text{Spec}(H^*) = \text{Spec}(K[G]^*)$, $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(L)$.

$$\mathcal{N}(L) = \text{Alg}_K(K[N]^*, L) \cong \text{Alg}_L(L[N]^*, L) \cong N \text{ via } (f \mapsto f(\eta)) \leftarrow \eta$$

$$\mathcal{X}(L) = \text{Alg}_K(L, L) = \text{Gal}(L/K) = G.$$

So N and G arise “organically” as the L -valued points of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{X} respectively.

L is an H -comodule via:

$$x \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^3 (\rho(g^k))^{-1} [1_G] \cdot x \otimes \varepsilon_k = \sum_{k=0}^3 g^k(x) \otimes \varepsilon_k$$

where $\varepsilon_k \in H^*$ is defined by $\varepsilon_k(\rho(g^j)) = \delta_{k,j}$.

The induced action on group schemes is

$$(\rho(g^i) * g^j)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^3 g^j(g^k(x)) \otimes \varepsilon_k(\rho(g^i)) = g^{j+i}(x),$$

and so $\rho(g^i) * g^j = g^{j+i}$, which differs from the original action of $N \leq \text{Perm}(G)$ on G : $\rho(g^i)[g^j] = g^{j-i}$.

Therefore, $\rho(g^i) * g^j = (\rho(g^i)^{-1})[g^j]$.

Let

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \eta(1_G) = g & \eta(g) = 1_G & \eta(g^2) = g^3 & \eta(g^3) = g^2 \\ \pi(1_G) = g^2 & \pi(g) = g^3 & \pi(g^2) = 1_G & \pi(g^3) = g \\ \eta\pi(1_G) = g^3 & \eta\pi(g) = g^2 & \eta\pi(g^2) = g & \eta\pi(g^3) = 1_G \end{array}$$

and let $N = \langle \eta, \pi \rangle \leq \text{Perm}(G)$. Then N is regular, and G acts on N via

$${}^g\eta = \eta\pi \qquad {}^g\pi = \pi \qquad {}^g(\eta\pi) = \eta.$$

$$\eta = (1 \ g)(g^2 \ g^3), \pi = (1 \ g^2)(g \ g^3), \eta\pi = (1 \ g^3)(g \ g^2)$$

Let $h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H = L[N]^G$ be given by

$$h_0 = 1$$

$$h_1 = \eta + \eta\pi$$

$$h_2 = \pi$$

$$h_3 = (x + x^2) + (1 + x + x^2)\eta\pi.$$

Then H has basis $\{h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3\}$. Furthermore,

$$h_0 \cdot x = x$$

$$h_1 \cdot x = 1$$

$$h_2 \cdot x = x + 1$$

$$h_3 \cdot x = x.$$

Let $\varepsilon_i \in H^*, 0 \leq i \leq 3$ be given by $\varepsilon_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

$$h_0 = 1, h_1 = \eta + \eta\pi, h_2 = \pi, h_3 = (x + x^2) + (1 + x + x^2)\eta\pi$$

Let $\mathcal{N} = \text{Spec}(H^*)$ and $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(L)$. Recall $\mathcal{X}(L) = G$; furthermore,

$$\mathcal{N}(L) = \text{Alg}_K(H^*, L) \cong \text{Alg}_L(L \otimes H^*, L) \cong \text{Alg}_L(L[N]^*, L) \cong N,$$

analogous to the classical case.

The nature of the isomorphism $L \otimes H^* \cong L[N]^*$ (or $L \otimes H \cong L[N]$) is important when considering the group scheme action of \mathcal{N} on \mathcal{X} .

$$h_0 = 1, h_1 = \eta + \eta\pi, h_2 = \pi, h_3 = (x + x^2) + (1 + x + x^2)\eta\pi$$

In $L \otimes H = LH$ we have:

$$1_N = h_0$$

$$\eta = (1 + x + x^2)h_1 + h_3$$

$$\pi = h_2$$

$$\eta\pi = (x + x^2)h_1 + h_3.$$

Thus $\varepsilon_i(1_N) = \delta_{i,0}$, $\varepsilon_i(\pi) = \delta_{i,2}$, and

$$\varepsilon_i(\eta) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0, 2 \\ 1 + x + x^2 & i = 1 \\ 1 & i = 3 \end{cases}, \quad \varepsilon_i(\eta\pi) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0, 2 \\ x + x^2 & i = 1 \\ 1 & i = 3 \end{cases}.$$

$$\varepsilon_1(\eta) = 1 + x + x^2, \quad \varepsilon_3(\eta) = 1, \quad \varepsilon_0(\eta) = \varepsilon_2(\eta) = 0$$

L is an H -Galois object via

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto (h_0 \cdot x) \otimes \varepsilon_0 + (h_1 \cdot x) \otimes \varepsilon_1 + (h_2 \cdot x) \otimes \varepsilon_2 + (h_3 \cdot x) \otimes \varepsilon_3 \\ &= x \otimes \varepsilon_0 + 1 \otimes \varepsilon_1 + (x + 1) \otimes \varepsilon_2 + x \otimes \varepsilon_3, \end{aligned}$$

and the action of $\mathcal{N}(L)$ on $\mathcal{X}(L)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta * 1_G)(x) &= x\varepsilon_0(\eta) + \varepsilon_1(\eta) + (x + 1)\varepsilon_2(\eta) + x\varepsilon_3(\eta) \\ &= \varepsilon_1(\eta) + x\varepsilon_3(\eta) \\ &= (1 + x + x^2) + x \\ &= x^2 + 1 = g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\eta * 1_G = g$. More generally, the action of $\mathcal{N}(L)$ on $\mathcal{X}(L)$ is precisely the same action we find in $N \leq \text{Perm}(G)$.

Since every element of N is self-inverse, this action is consistent with the previous example.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Schemes
- 3 An Example
- 4 Greither-Pareigis to schemes**
- 5 Application to separable case
- 6 Application to inseparable case

General Theory

As before, let L/K be separable, $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$, $G' = \text{Gal}(E/L)$.

Let $N \leq \text{Perm}(G/G')$ regular, normalized by G , and $H = E[N]^G$.

Let $\{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}\}$ be a K -basis for H , dual basis $\{\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}\}$.

For each $\eta_j \in N$, write $\eta_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} h_i$, $a_{i,j} \in E$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \text{Spec}(H^*)$, $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(E)$.

Then $\mathcal{N}(E) = N$ and $\mathcal{X}(E) = G$.

The (regular) action of $\mathcal{N}(E)$ on $\mathcal{X}(E)$ is

$$(\eta * g)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(h_i \cdot x) \varepsilon_i(\eta) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(h_i \cdot x) a_{i,j}.$$

Claim. $\eta * g = \eta^{-1}[g]$.

Claim. $\eta * g = \eta^{-1}[g]$.

Keep $\eta_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} h_i$, $a_{i,j} \in E$, and write $h_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{i,j} \eta_j$, $b_{i,j} \in E$.

Let $A = [a_{i,j}]$, $B = [b_{i,j}]$. Then $A^T B = I$, so

$$a_{1,j} b_{1,k} + a_{2,j} b_{2,k} + \cdots + a_{n,j} b_{n,k} = \delta_{j,k}.$$

Now for $x \in L$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_j * 1_G)(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (h_i \cdot x) \varepsilon_i(\eta_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{i,k} \eta_k^{-1}[1_G](x) \varepsilon_i(\eta_j) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} b_{i,k} \right) \eta_k^{-1}[1_G](x) \\ &= \eta_j^{-1}[1_G](x). \end{aligned}$$

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Schemes
- 3 An Example
- 4 Greither-Pareigis to schemes
- 5 Application to separable case**
- 6 Application to inseparable case

Question

Let $N_1, N_2 \leq \text{Perm}(G/G')$ be regular subgroups normalized by G .

Are $E[N_1]^G \cong E[N_2]^G$ as K -algebras?

We have answers to these types of questions, particularly when N_1, N_2 abelian (esp. cyclic); as well as when $N_1 = \rho(G), N_2 = \lambda(G)$.

Problem. In its full generality, this seems like a fairly difficult problem.

Separable Algebras, $\text{char } K = 0$

There exists a way to classify all separable algebras.

Let A be a separable algebra, and let $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$.

Let $X_A = \mathcal{X}(K^{\text{sep}}) = \text{Alg}_K(A, K^{\text{sep}})$, where K^{sep} is the separable closure of K .

Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$. Then Γ acts on X_A via $(\gamma f)(a) = \gamma(f(a))$.

Furthermore, this action is continuous (the action factors through finite Galois extensions of K).

There is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{Separable } K\text{-algebras}\} & \leftrightarrow & \{\text{finite sets on which } \Gamma \text{ acts continuously}\} \\ A & \mapsto & X_A \\ F^{\text{Sta } X_0} & \leftarrow & X = \Gamma X_0 \text{ (single orbit case)} \end{array}$$

with F a finite extension of K such that the action of Γ factors through $\text{Gal}(F/K)$.

Given $N \leq \text{Perm}(G)$ as usual, let $H = E[N]^G$.

If N is not abelian, then H may not be a separable K -algebra.

But H^* is separable since H is cocommutative.

Thus, H^* corresponds to a finite Γ -set, namely $\mathcal{N}(K^{\text{sep}})$ where $\mathcal{N} = \text{Spec}(H^*)$ as before.

Note $\mathcal{N}(K^{\text{sep}}) = \mathcal{N}(E) \cong N$, and the action of Γ on $\mathcal{N}(E)$ factors through G .

So N_1, N_2 give isomorphic K -coalgebras (i.e., the dual to their Hopf algebras are isomorphic as K -algebras) if and only if \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 are isomorphic as Γ -sets, or simply as G -sets.

$$\mathcal{N}(E) = \text{Alg}_K(H^*, E) \cong \text{Alg}_E(E \otimes H^*, E) \cong \text{Alg}_E(E[N]^*, E) \cong N$$

Let $N = \{\eta_j\}$. Let $\{h_i\}$ be a K -basis for H , and $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ the dual basis for H^* (as well as $(E \otimes H)^*$).

Under the isomorphism above $\eta \in N$ corresponds to the algebra map $(E \otimes H)^* \rightarrow E$ obtained by evaluating at η . Set

$$\eta_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} h_i, \text{ so } \varepsilon_i(\eta_j) = a_{i,j} \in E.$$

Then $\mathcal{N}(E) = \text{Alg}_K(H^*, E) = \{f_j\}$ where $f_j(\varepsilon_i) = a_{i,j}$.

$\mathcal{N}(E) = \text{Alg}_K(H^*, E) = \{f_j\}$ where $f_j(\varepsilon_i) = a_{i,j}$

However,

$${}^g\eta_j = g \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} h_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g(a_{i,j}) h_i.$$

Thus, if ${}^g\eta_j = \eta_k$ we have $a_{i,k} = g(a_{i,j})$ for all i .

Then G acts on $\mathcal{N}(E)$ by $(g \cdot f_j)(\varepsilon_i) = g(a_{i,j}) = a_{i,k} = f_k(\varepsilon_i)$, i.e.,
 $g \cdot f_j = f_k$ iff ${}^g\eta_j = \eta_k$.

And I'm sure that this makes sense.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Schemes
- 3 An Example
- 4 Greither-Pareigis to schemes
- 5 Application to separable case
- 6 Application to inseparable case**

Question

Let L/K be purely inseparable, $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(L)$.

Given a PHS \mathcal{X} for \mathcal{G} , can we “evaluate” both functors at some field and look for regular subgroups?

Problem. K^{sep} doesn't work.

Example

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p((T))$, $L = K(x)$, $x^p = T$.

Let $\alpha_p = \text{Spec}(H)$, where $H = K[t]/(t^p)$, $\Delta(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t$.

Then $\mathcal{X} := \text{Spec}(L)$ is a PHS for α_p via

$$g * x = g + x$$

for $g \in \alpha_p(B) = \{b \in B : b^p = 0\}$, $x \in \mathcal{X} = \{b \in B : b^p = T\}$.

But $\alpha(F) = \text{Alg}_K(H, F)$ is trivial for F a field extension of K .

However...

... perhaps \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{X} can be evaluated for some other K -algebra.

Example

Let $K = \mathbb{F}_p((T))$, $L = K(x)$, $x^p = T$, $\alpha_p = \text{Spec}(H)$, $H = K[t]/(t^p)$.

Let

$$B = L[y]/(y^p) = K[x, y]/(x^p - T, y^p).$$

$$\alpha_p(B) = \{b \in B : b^p = 0\} = yB \text{ (group under } +_B)$$

$$\mathcal{X}(B) = \{b \in B : b^p = T\} = x + yB$$

The action is addition in B , and one can view yB as a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(x + yB)$.

Let L/K be a finite normal modular extension of exponent e .

Let $B = L[y]/(y^{p^e+1})$.

The *Heerema-Galois group* is defined to be

$$HG(L/K) = \text{Aut}_{K[y]}(L[y]).$$

Battiston (Proc. AMS, 2017) gives a theory of Galois descent for finite inseparable extensions using $HG(L/K)$.

Perhaps $L[y]/(y^{p^e+1})$ (or $L[y]/(y^{p^e})$) is a useful analogue for K^{sep} .

Thank you.