

The Structure of Hopf Algebras Acting on Galois Extensions

Robert G. Underwood
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Auburn University at Montgomery
Montgomery, Alabama



June 7, 2016

Let L/K be a Galois extension with group G . Let λ denote the left regular representation of G in $\text{Perm}(G)$. Then by Greither-Pareigis theory, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Hopf-Galois structures on L/K and regular subgroups of $\text{Perm}(G)$ that are normalized by $\lambda(G)$. All of the Hopf algebras thus constructed are finite dimensional algebras over K . In this talk, we discuss the Wedderburn-Malcev decompositions of these Hopf algebras.

1. The Jacobson Radical

Let R be any ring. Then R is **left-artinian** if it has the DCC for left ideals, that is, every decreasing sequence of left ideals

$$L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq L_3 \supseteq \cdots$$

eventually stops: there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ for which

$$L_N = L_{N+1} = L_{N+2} = \cdots$$

Example 1.1. *Every finite dimensional algebra over a field K is left artinian as a ring.*

A left ideal L of R is a **maximal left ideal** if $L \neq R$ and there is no left ideal J with $L \subset J \subset R$.

The **Jacobson radical** $J(R)$ of a ring R is the the intersection of all of the maximal left ideals of R .

Example 1.2. $J(\mathbb{Z}_p) = p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

A ring R is **Jacobson semisimple** if $J(R) = 0$.

Example 1.3. For any field K , $J(\text{Mat}_n(K)) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

For an arbitrary ring R , the Jacobson radical $J(R)$ seems difficult to calculate. Here is an alternate characterization:

Proposition 1.4. *$J(R)$ consists of precisely those elements $x \in R$ for which $1 - rx$ has a left inverse for all $r \in R$.*

Proof. See [6, Proposition 8.31].

□

Further properties...

Proposition 1.5. $J(R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Proof. See [6, Corollary 8.35(i)].

□

Proposition 1.6. $J(R/J(R)) = 0$, that is, $R/J(R)$ is Jacobson semisimple.

Proof. See [6, Corollary 8.35(ii)].

□

So, for a given ring R , is $J(R)$ the smallest two-sided ideal of R for which $R/J(R)$ is Jacobson semisimple?

Proposition 1.7. *If R is left artinian, then $J(R)$ is nilpotent.*

Proof. See [6, Proposition 8.34]. □

Proposition 1.8. *Suppose that R is a commutative algebra which is finitely generated over a field. Then $J(R)$ is the nilradical of R .*

Proof. By [6, Corollary 8.33], the nilradical of R is contained in $J(R)$. But since $J(R)$ is nilpotent, $J(R)$ consists of nilpotent elements, hence $J(R)$ is contained in the nilradical of R . □

2. Semisimple Rings

A left ideal L of R is a **minimal left ideal** if $L \neq 0$ and there is no left ideal J with $0 \subset J \subset L$.

A ring R is **left semisimple** if it is a direct sum of minimal left ideals.

Example 2.1. Let K be a field, then

$$K^n = \underbrace{K \times K \times \cdots \times K}_n$$

is left semisimple for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.2. A ring R is left semisimple if and only if every left ideal of R is a direct summand as a left R -module.

Proof. See [6, Theorem 8.42]. □

Proposition 2.3. (Maschke's Theorem) *Let G be a finite group and let K be a field whose characteristic does not divide $|G|$. Then the group ring KG is a left semisimple ring.*

Proof. (Sketch) In view of Proposition 2.2, we show that every left ideal L of KG is a direct summand. As vector spaces over K ,

$$KG = L \oplus V,$$

so there is a K -map $\psi : KG \rightarrow L$ with $\psi(x) = x, \forall x \in L$. Now let $\Psi : KG \rightarrow KG$ be defined as

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\psi(g^{-1}x).$$

Then $\text{im}(\Psi) \subseteq L$, $\Psi(x) = x, \forall x \in L$, and Ψ is a KG -map. It follows that L is a direct summand as a KG -module. \square

Proposition 2.4. *A ring R is left semisimple if and only if it is left artinian and $J(R) = 0$.*

Proof. See [6, Theorem 8.45]. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a finite group and let K be a field whose characteristic does not divide $|G|$. Then $J(KG) = 0$.*

So, in view of Proposition 1.4, for any non-zero x in KG , there must be an element $r \in KG$ for which $1 - rx$ has no left inverse.

Proposition 2.6. (Wedderburn-Artin) *A ring R is left semisimple if and only if it is isomorphic to the direct product of matrix rings over division rings.*

Proof. (Sketch of “only if”) Suppose that R is a direct sum of minimal left ideals,

$$R = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_q.$$

We may assume without loss of generality, that the first m summands, L_i , $1 \leq i \leq m \leq q$, represent the isomorphism classes of all of the L_i , $1 \leq i \leq q$. Let

$$B_1 = \sum_{L_i \cong L_1} L_i, \quad B_2 = \sum_{L_i \cong L_2} L_i, \quad \dots, \quad B_m = \sum_{L_i \cong L_m} L_i.$$

Then

$$R = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_m.$$

Let n_i be the number of summands in B_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}R^{\text{opp}} &\cong \text{End}_R(B_1) \times \text{End}_R(B_2) \times \cdots \times \text{End}_R(B_m) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(\text{End}_R(L_1)) \times \text{Mat}_{n_2}(\text{End}_R(L_2)) \times \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(\text{End}_R(L_m)) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(C_1) \times \text{Mat}_{n_2}(C_2) \times \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(C_m),\end{aligned}$$

for division rings C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}R &\cong (\text{Mat}_{n_1}(C_1))^{\text{opp}} \times (\text{Mat}_{n_2}(C_2))^{\text{opp}} \times \cdots \times (\text{Mat}_{n_m}(C_m))^{\text{opp}} \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(C_1^{\text{opp}}) \times \text{Mat}_{n_2}(C_2^{\text{opp}}) \times \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(C_m^{\text{opp}}) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(D_1) \times \text{Mat}_{n_2}(D_2) \times \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(D_m),\end{aligned}$$

for division rings D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m . □

Proposition 2.7. (Wedderburn-Malcev) *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field K , and let $J(A)$ be its Jacobson radical. Then*

$$A/J(A) \cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(D_1) \times \text{Mat}_{n_2}(D_2) \times \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(D_m),$$

for integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m and division rings D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m .

Proof. First note that $J(A/J(A)) = 0$ by Proposition 1.6. Moreover, $A/J(A)$ is finite dimensional over K , and so it is left artinian. Hence by Proposition 2.4, $A/J(A)$ is left semisimple. Now by Proposition 2.6, the result follows. □

3. Greither-Pareigis Theory

Let L/K be a Galois extension with group G . Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over K .

Then L is an H -**Galois extension** of K if L is an H -module algebra and the K -linear map

$$j : L \otimes_K H \rightarrow \text{End}_K(L),$$

given as $j(a \otimes h)(x) = ah(x)$ for $a, x \in L$, $h \in H$, is bijective.

If L is an H -Galois extension for some H , then L is said to have a **Hopf-Galois structure** via H .

Example 3.1. (Classical Hopf-Galois Structure) *Let KG be the group ring K -Hopf algebra. Then L is a KG -Galois extension of K ; L admits the classical Hopf-Galois structure via KG .*

But are there other Hopf-Galois structures on L/K ?

Theorem 3.2. (Greither-Pareigis) *Let L/K be a Galois extension with group G with $n = [L : K]$. Let λ denote the left regular representation of G in $\text{Perm}(G)$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Hopf-Galois structures on L/K and regular subgroups of $\text{Perm}(G)$ that are normalized by $\lambda(G)$.*

One direction of this remarkable result works as follows.

Let N be a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ normalized by $\lambda(G)$. Assume that G acts on LN by as the Galois group on L , and by conjugation via $\lambda(G)$ on N . Let

$$H = (LN)^G = \{x \in LN : g \cdot x = x, \forall g \in G\}.$$

Then H is an n -dimensional K -Hopf algebra and L has a Hopf-Galois structure via H .

Example 3.3. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Perm}(G)$ be the right regular representation of G in $\text{Perm}(G)$. Then $\rho(G)$ is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ normalized by $\lambda(G)$. In this case

$$H = (L\rho(G))^G = K\rho(G) \cong KG,$$

and the corresponding Hopf-Galois structure on L is the classical structure.

Proposition 3.4. (Koch, Kohl, Truman, U.) Let N be a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ normalized by $\lambda(G)$. Let $H = (LN)^G$ be the K -Hopf algebra acting on the Hopf-Galois extension L . Then H is a group ring if and only if $N = \rho(G)$, that is, H is a group ring if and only if L has the classical Hopf-Galois structure.

Proof. See [5, Proposition 1.2].

Corollary 3.5. (Koch, Kohl, Truman, U.) *Let N be a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ normalized by $\lambda(G)$. Let $H = (LN)^G$ be the K -Hopf algebra acting on the Hopf-Galois extension L . Let $G(H)$ denote the set of grouplike elements in H . Then*

$$G(H) = N \cap \rho(G).$$

Proof. See [5, Corollary 1.3].

In general, to construct Hopf-Galois structures on L we search for regular subgroups normalized by $\lambda(G)$.

But: what is the structure of the K -Hopf algebras that arise from this construction?

How do they fall into K -algebra isomorphism classes?

How do they fall into K -Hopf algebra isomorphism classes?

Are they left semisimple as rings?

What are their Wedderburn-Malcev decompositions?

4. The Structure of $(LN)^G$

Proposition 4.1. (Koch, Kohl, Truman, U.) *Let L/K be a Galois extension with group G of degree $n = [L : K]$. Let $\alpha \in L$ be a normal basis generator satisfying $\text{tr}(\alpha) = 1$. Let N be a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ that is normalized by $\lambda(G)$. For $n \in N$, set*

$$v_n = \sum_{g \in G} g(\alpha) \lambda(g) n \lambda(g)^{-1}.$$

Then $\{v_n\}_{n \in N}$ is a K -basis for $(LN)^G$.

Proof. See [5, Proposition 2.1]. □

Example 4.2. *If $N = \rho(G)$, then since $\lambda(G)$ commutes with $\rho(G)$, we have*

$$v_n = \sum_{g \in G} g(\alpha) \lambda(g) n \lambda(g)^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} g(\alpha) n = n.$$

Thus, as expected, $\{v_n\}_{n \in N}$ is the standard basis for the group ring KG .

Proposition/Conjecture 4.3. $H = (LN)^G$ is a left semisimple ring.

For $N = \rho(G)$: yes, of course, this is true by Maschke's Theorem.

For N abelian (H commutative): yes, the conjecture holds, since in this case $J(H)$ is the nilradical of H , which is trivial. The reason $J(H)$ is trivial is that $J(LN)$ is trivial and any nontrivial element of $J(H)$ would lift to a nontrivial element of $J(LN)$, a contradiction.

The following result might also be helpful in proving the conjecture.

Proposition 4.4. (Clark) *Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Suppose that there exists a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of left R -module generators of S such that each x_i lies in the commutant $C_S(\phi(R))$. Then $\phi(J(R)) \subseteq J(S)$.*

Proof. See [2, Proposition 3.23]

□

Proposition 4.4 could be used to prove Conjecture 4.3 by applying it to the case $R = H$, $S = LN$, where $\phi : H \rightarrow LN$ is the inclusion. Then if appropriate generators $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ could be found, then $J(H)$ would be trivial since $J(LN)$ is trivial.

5. Examples: Galois Group: Rank 4 Elementary Abelian

In what follows, we explicitly construct some $(LN)^G$, aka “Greither-Pareigis” Hopf algebras.

Let K be the splitting field of the polynomial $p(x) = x^4 - 10x^2 + 1$ over \mathbb{Q} . Then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$, and K is Galois with group $G \cong C_2 \times C_2$, $G = \{1, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau\}$, $\sigma^2 = \tau^2 = 1$.

The Galois action is given as

$$\sigma(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}, \quad \tau(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}.$$

Note that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} + \sqrt{6} \right)$$

is a normal basis generator for K/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{tr}(\alpha) = 1$.

Example 5.1. The subgroup $\rho(G)$ is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ normalized by $\lambda(G) = \rho(G)$. K is a Hopf-Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} ; K has the classical Hopf-Galois structure via $H = (K\rho(G))^G = \mathbb{Q}G$. A basis for $\mathbb{Q}G$ is $\{1, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau\}$.

Proposition 5.2. $\mathbb{Q}G$ is left semisimple as a ring. Its Wedderburn-Artin decomposition is

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. By Maschke's Theorem, $\mathbb{Q}G$ is a left semisimple ring. Hence by Wedderburn-Artin,

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(D_m),$$

where $n_i \geq 1$ are integers and the D_i are division rings, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Over \mathbb{C} , G has exactly 4 one-dimensional irreducible representations

$$\rho_i : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W_i),$$

$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i) = 1$, given in the tables:

x	$\rho_0(x)$
1	1
σ	1
τ	1
$\sigma\tau$	1

x	$\rho_1(x)$
1	1
σ	1
τ	-1
$\sigma\tau$	-1

x	$\rho_2(x)$
1	1
σ	-1
τ	1
$\sigma\tau$	-1

x	$\rho_3(x)$
1	1
σ	-1
τ	-1
$\sigma\tau$	1

Let χ_i be the character of ρ_i . Then

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_0(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \sigma + \tau + \sigma\tau),$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_1(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \sigma - \tau - \sigma\tau),$$

$$b_3 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_0(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \sigma + \tau - \sigma\tau),$$

$$b_4 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_1(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \sigma - \tau + \sigma\tau),$$

are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{C}G$ with

$$b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 = 1,$$

cf. [7, Exercise 6.4].

Now, each irreducible representation extends to a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism:

$$\tilde{\rho}_i : \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i) \cong \mathbb{C},$$

$$0 \leq i \leq 3.$$

There is an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$$

given as:

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = (\tilde{\rho}_0(x), \tilde{\rho}_1(x), \tilde{\rho}_2(x), \tilde{\rho}_3(x)).$$

One has

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_1) = (1, 0, 0, 0),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_2) = (0, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_3) = (0, 0, 1, 0),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_4) = (0, 0, 0, 1),$$

cf. [7, Proposition 10].

Since $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ is also a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\mathbb{Q}G$, one has

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}.$$



Example 5.3. (Byott) Let $\eta \in \text{Perm}(G)$ be defined as

$$\eta(\sigma^k \tau^l) = \sigma^{k-1} \tau^{l+k-1}, \quad 0 \leq k, l \leq 1.$$

Then $\langle \eta \rangle \cong C_4$ is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(G)$ normalized by $\lambda(G)$.

By Theorem 3.2, K is a Hopf-Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} ; K has a Hopf-Galois structure via the 4-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra $H = (K\langle \eta \rangle)^G$.

By Proposition 4.1, a \mathbb{Q} -basis for H is $\{v_1, v_\eta, v_{\eta^2}, v_{\eta^3}\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 1, \\ v_\eta &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \eta^3) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\eta - \eta^3) \\ v_{\eta^2} &= \eta^2 \\ v_{\eta^3} &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \eta^3) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\eta - \eta^3). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.4. *The \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra H of Example 5.3 is left semisimple as a ring. Its Wedderburn-Artin decomposition is*

$$H \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}).$$

Proof. H contains $\frac{1 + \eta^2}{4}$ and $\pm \frac{\eta + \eta^3}{4}$, and so, H contains

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \eta + \eta^2 + \eta^3),$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta + \eta^2 - \eta^3),$$

and

$$b_3 = 1 - b_1 - b_2 = \frac{1 - \eta^2}{4};$$

b_1, b_2, b_3 are mutually orthogonal idempotents.

Let

$$a = \left(\frac{1 - \eta^2}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}(\eta + \eta^3) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\eta - \eta^3) \right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\eta - \eta^2).$$

Then $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, a\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for H . Note that $a^2 = -3b_3$.

Now as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} ,

$$H = \mathbb{Q}b_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}b_2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}b_3 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a,$$

and as \mathbb{Q} -algebras,

$$\begin{aligned} H &\cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}b_3[a], \\ &\cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \end{aligned}$$

the isomorphism in the last component given as $b_3 \mapsto 1_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})}$,
 $a \mapsto \sqrt{-3}$. By Wedderburn-Artin, H is left semisimple. \square

By direct calculation,

$$G(H) = N \cap \rho(G) = \{1, \eta^2\}.$$

6. Conclusions, I

Regarding the rank 4 elementary abelian example above:

In the case where K has the classical Hopf-Galois structure (Example 5.1),

$$H_1 = (K\rho(G))^G = \mathbb{Q}G \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q},$$

In the case where K has the non-classical Hopf-Galois structure (Example 5.3),

$$H_2 = (KN)^G \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}).$$

The two Hopf-Galois structures on K are distinct in that the two Hopf algebras are non-isomorphic as \mathbb{Q} -algebras, and hence, certainly non-isomorphic as Hopf algebras.

Moreover, both Hopf algebras are left semisimple, and thus by Proposition 2.4, both Jacobson radicals are trivial.

7. Examples: Galois Group: Symmetric Group on 3 Letters

Let K be the splitting field of $x^3 - 2$ over \mathbb{Q} . Let ω denote a primitive 3rd root of unity and let $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$. Then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \omega)$ is Galois with group $S_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ with $\sigma^3 = \tau^2 = 1$, $\tau\sigma = \sigma^2\tau$.

The Galois action is given as $\sigma(\alpha) = \omega\alpha$, $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$, $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha$, $\tau(\omega) = \omega^2$.

Observe that

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3}(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \omega + \omega\alpha + \omega\alpha^2)$$

is a normal basis generator for K/\mathbb{Q} with $\text{tr}(\beta) = 1$.

Example 7.1. *The subgroup $\rho(S_3)$ is a regular subgroup of $\text{Perm}(S_3)$ normalized by $\lambda(S_3)$. K is a Hopf-Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} ; K has the classical Hopf-Galois structure via $H = (K\rho(S_3))^{S_3} = \mathbb{Q}S_3$. A basis for $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ is $\{1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \tau, \tau\sigma, \tau\sigma^2\}$.*

Proposition 7.2. *$\mathbb{Q}S_3$ is left semisimple as a ring. Its Wedderburn-Artin decomposition is*

$$\mathbb{Q}S_3 \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. (Computer-free proof) By Maschke's Theorem, $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ is a left semisimple ring.

Hence by Wedderburn-Artin,

$$\mathbb{Q}S_3 \cong \text{Mat}_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Mat}_{n_m}(D_m),$$

where $n_i \geq 1$ are integers and the D_i are division rings, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Over \mathbb{C} , there are exactly two 1-dimensional representations of S_3 ,

$$\rho_0 : S_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}(W_0),$$

given as $\rho_0(x) = 1, \forall x \in S_3$, and

$$\rho_1 : S_3 \rightarrow \text{GL}(W_1),$$

defined as $\rho_1(\sigma^i) = 1$, and $\rho_1(\tau\sigma^i) = -1$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

There is exactly one 2-dimensional representation

$$\rho_2 : S_3 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_2),$$

defined as $\rho_2(\sigma^i) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^i & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{2i} \end{pmatrix}$, and $\rho_2(\tau\sigma^i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{2i} \\ \omega^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, where ω is a primitive 3rd root of unity, [7, §2.4, §2.5, §5.3].

Let χ_i be the character of ρ_i . Then

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{x \in S_3} \chi_0(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{6} (1 + \sigma + \sigma^2 + \tau + \tau\sigma + \tau\sigma^2),$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{x \in S_3} \chi_1(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{6} (1 + \sigma + \sigma^2 - \tau - \tau\sigma - \tau\sigma^2),$$

and

$$b_3 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{x \in S_3} \chi_2(x^{-1})x = \frac{1}{3} (2 - \sigma - \sigma^2)$$

are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{C}S_3$ with

$$b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = 1,$$

cf. [7, Exercise 6.4].

Now, each irreducible representation extends to a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism:

$$\tilde{\rho}_i : \mathbb{C}S_3 \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i) \cong \text{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}), \quad n_i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i),$$

$$0 \leq i \leq 2.$$

There is an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{C}S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

given as:

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = (\tilde{\rho}_0(x), \tilde{\rho}_1(x), \tilde{\rho}_2(x)).$$

One has

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_1) = \left(1, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_2) = \left(0, 1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_3) = \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

cf. [7, Proposition 10].

We seek 4 elements of $\mathbb{C}S_3$ which correspond to a basis for the simple component $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We find elements $b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, b_{2,1}, b_{2,2} \in \mathbb{C}S_3$ which satisfy the multiplication table

	$b_{1,1}$	$b_{1,2}$	$b_{2,1}$	$b_{2,2}$
$b_{1,1}$	$b_{1,1}$	$b_{1,2}$	0	0
$b_{1,2}$	0	0	$b_{1,1}$	$b_{1,2}$
$b_{2,1}$	$b_{2,1}$	$b_{2,2}$	0	0
$b_{2,2}$	0	0	$b_{2,1}$	$b_{2,2}$

(1)

We require that

$$b_{1,1} + b_{2,2} = b_3 = \frac{1}{3}(2 - \sigma - \sigma^2),$$

with $b_{1,1}^2 = b_{1,1}$ and $b_{2,2}^2 = b_{2,2}$, and so we guess that

$$b_{1,1} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \sigma + \tau\sigma - \tau\sigma^2),$$

and

$$b_{2,2} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \sigma^2 - \tau\sigma + \tau\sigma^2).$$

(Note: I used trial and error, but one could probably solve a non-linear system to get this.)

Now for $b_{1,2}$ and $b_{2,1}$: We require that

$$(b_{1,2} + b_{2,1})^2 = b_{1,1} + b_{2,2} = \frac{1}{3}(2 - \sigma - \sigma^2),$$

and so, we could guess that

$$b_{1,2} + b_{2,1} = \frac{1}{3}\tau(2 - \sigma - \sigma^2)$$

since $\frac{1}{3}(2 - \sigma - \sigma^2)$ is idempotent and $\tau^2 = 1$.

But we also know that $b_{1,2}$ satisfies the equation $b_{2,2}X = 0$, which converts to a 6×6 linear homogeneous system with many solutions, one of which is

$$b_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{3}(\sigma - \sigma^2 - \tau + \tau\sigma^2).$$

With this choice for $b_{1,2}$, then

$$b_{2,1} = \frac{1}{3}(\sigma - \sigma^2 + \tau - \tau\sigma).$$

Now (as one can check) a \mathbb{C} -basis for $\mathbb{C}S_3$ is

$$B' = \{b_1, b_2, b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}, b_{2,1}, b_{2,2}\},$$

with

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{6}(1 + \sigma + \sigma^2 + \tau + \tau\sigma + \tau\sigma^2),$$

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{6}(1 + \sigma + \sigma^2 - \tau - \tau\sigma - \tau\sigma^2),$$

$$b_{1,1} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \sigma + \tau\sigma - \tau\sigma^2),$$

$$b_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{3}(\sigma - \sigma^2 - \tau + \tau\sigma^2),$$

$$b_{2,1} = \frac{1}{3}(\sigma - \sigma^2 + \tau - \tau\sigma),$$

$$b_{2,2} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \sigma^2 - \tau\sigma + \tau\sigma^2).$$

The \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{C}S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

is now given as

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_1) = \left(1, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_2) = \left(0, 1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_{1,1}) = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \omega & \omega^2 - \omega \\ \omega - \omega^2 & 1 - \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_{1,2}) = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 - \omega & 1 - \omega^2 \\ 1 - \omega & \omega - \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_{2,1}) = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} \omega - \omega^2 & 1 - \omega^2 \\ 1 - \omega & \omega^2 - \omega \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}(b_{2,2}) = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \omega^2 & \omega - \omega^2 \\ \omega^2 - \omega & 1 - \omega \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Now, B' is also a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\mathbb{Q}S_3$. Hence, there is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra isomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbb{Q}S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

$$\phi(b_1) = \left(1, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\phi(b_2) = \left(0, 1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\phi(b_{1,1}) = \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\phi(b_{1,2}) = \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\phi(b_{2,1}) = \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

$$\phi(b_{2,2}) = \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Example 7.3. Let $\lambda : S_3 \rightarrow \text{Perm}(S_3)$ denote the left regular representation of S_3 in $\text{Perm}(S_3)$; $\lambda(S_3)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Perm}(S_3)$ normalized by $\lambda(S_3)$. Then K is a Hopf-Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} ; K has a Hopf-Galois structure via the 6-dimensional \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra $H = (K\lambda(S_3))^{S_3}$.

Proposition 7.4. H is left semisimple as a ring. Its Wedderburn-Artin decomposition is

$$H \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. By [1, (6.12) Example, p. 55],

$$H = \{a_0 + a_1\sigma + \tau(a_1)\sigma^2 + b_0\tau + \sigma^2(b_0)\sigma\tau + \sigma(b_0)\sigma^2\tau\}$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$, and $b_0 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Write $a_1 = a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}\omega$, $b_0 = b_{0,0} + b_{0,1}\alpha + b_{0,2}\alpha^2$, for $a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}, b_{0,0}, b_{0,1}, b_{0,2} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Then a typical element of H can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 + (a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}\omega)\sigma + (a_{1,0} + a_{1,1}\omega^2)\sigma^2 + (b_{0,0} + b_{0,1}\alpha + b_{0,2}\alpha^2)\tau \\ & + (b_{0,0} + b_{0,1}\alpha\omega^2 + b_{0,2}\alpha^2\omega)\sigma\tau + (b_{0,0} + b_{0,1}\alpha\omega + b_{0,2}\alpha^2\omega^2)\sigma^2\tau \\ & = a_0 + a_{1,0}(\sigma + \sigma^2) + a_{1,1}(\omega\sigma + \omega^2\sigma^2) + b_{0,0}(\tau + \sigma\tau + \sigma^2\tau) \\ & + b_{0,1}(\alpha\tau + \alpha\omega^2\sigma\tau + \alpha\omega\sigma^2\tau) + b_{0,2}(\alpha^2\tau + \alpha^2\omega\sigma\tau + \alpha^2\omega^2\sigma^2\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$C = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\},$$

with

$$v_1 = 1$$

$$v_2 = \sigma + \sigma^2,$$

$$v_3 = \omega\sigma + \omega^2\sigma^2,$$

$$v_4 = \tau + \sigma\tau + \sigma^2\tau,$$

$$v_5 = \alpha\tau + \alpha\omega^2\sigma\tau + \alpha\omega\sigma^2\tau,$$

$$v_6 = \alpha^2\tau + \alpha^2\omega\sigma\tau + \alpha^2\omega^2\sigma^2\tau,$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for H ; this is the “standard” basis for H .

The multiplication table for the v_i is:

(2)

	1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6
1	1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6
v_2	v_2	$2v_2$	$-1 - v_2 - v_3$	$2v_4$	$-v_5$	$-v_6$
v_3	v_3	$-1 - v_2 - v_3$	$2 + v_3$	$-v_4$	$-v_5$	$2v_6$
v_4	v_4	$2v_4$	$-v_4$	$3 + 3v_2$	0	0
v_5	v_5	$-v_5$	$2v_5$	0	0	$6 - 6v_2 - 6v_3$
v_6	v_6	$-v_6$	$-v_6$	0	$6 + 6v_3$	0

Now, as in Proposition 7.2, $c_1 = b_1 = \frac{1}{6}(1 + v_2 + v_4)$ and $c_2 = b_2 = \frac{1}{6}(1 + v_2 - v_4)$ form a pair of mutually orthogonal idempotents in H .

We search for matrix units satisfying table (1).

One has that

$$c_{1,1} = \frac{1}{3}(1 + v_3) = \frac{1}{3}(1 + \omega\sigma + \omega^2\sigma^2)$$

and

$$c_{2,2} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - v_2 - v_3) = \frac{1}{3}(1 + \omega^2\sigma + \omega\sigma^2)$$

are a pair of orthogonal idempotents.

A bit of trial and error using table (2) (really!) shows that the other matrix units are $c_{1,2} = \frac{1}{6}v_6$ and $c_{2,1} = \frac{1}{3}v_5$.

The set

$$C' = \{c_1, c_2, c_{1,1}, c_{1,2}, c_{2,1}, c_{2,2}\}$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for H . There is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra isomorphism:

$$\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}),$$

$$c_1 \mapsto \left(1, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$c_2 \mapsto \left(0, 1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$c_{1,1} \mapsto \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$c_{1,2} \mapsto \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$c_{2,1} \mapsto \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

$$c_{2,2} \mapsto \left(0, 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Clearly, H is left semisimple. □

Recall that

$$\beta = \frac{1}{3}(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \omega + \omega\alpha + \omega\alpha^2)$$

is a normal basis generator for K/\mathbb{Q} . By Proposition 4.1, there is another \mathbb{Q} -basis for H ,

$$D = \{v_1 = 1, v_\sigma, v_{\sigma^2}, v_\tau, v_{\tau\sigma}, v_{\tau\sigma^2}\},$$

where

$$v_x = \sum_{g \in S_3} g(\beta) \lambda(g) \lambda(x) \lambda(g)^{-1},$$

for $x \in S_3$.

The basis matrix of D (with respect to C) is:

$$M_D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

One has

$$M_D v_D = v.$$

Now,

$$M_D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$M_D^{-1}v = v_D.$$

Thus, in terms of D , the basis C' computed above is

$$C' = \left\{ \frac{1}{6}(1+v_\sigma+v_{\sigma^2}+v_\tau+v_{\tau\sigma}+v_{\tau\sigma^2}), \frac{1}{6}(1+v_\sigma+v_{\sigma^2}-v_\tau-v_{\tau\sigma}-v_{\tau\sigma^2}), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{3}(1-v_{\sigma^2}), \frac{1}{6}(v_\tau-v_{\tau\sigma}), \frac{1}{3}(v_\tau-v_{\tau\sigma^2}), \frac{1}{3}(1-v_\sigma) \right\}.$$

8. Conclusions, II

Regarding the S_3 examples above:

In the case where K has the classical Hopf-Galois structure (Example 7.1),

$$H_1 = (K\rho(S_3))^{S_3} = \mathbb{Q}S_3 \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}),$$

In the case where K has the non-classical Hopf-Galois structure (Example 7.3),

$$H_2 = (K\lambda(S_3))^{S_3} \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

By a direct computation (or use [1, (6.9) Example]),

$$G(H_2) = \lambda(S_3) \cap \rho(S_3) = \{1\}.$$

These two Hopf algebras are isomorphic as \mathbb{Q} -algebras, yet are non-isomorphic as Hopf algebras.

Both Hopf algebras are left semisimple, and thus by Proposition 2.4, both Jacobson radicals are trivial.

9. A New Hopf Algebra Structure

Fact 9.1. *Suppose $\varphi : S \rightarrow G$ is a bijection of sets with G a group. Then there is a unique group structure on S that makes φ an isomorphism of groups.*

For $x, y \in S$, define

$$xy = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)).$$

Proposition 9.2. *Let K be a field. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow H$ be an isomorphism of K -algebras with H a K -Hopf algebra. Then there is a unique Hopf algebra structure on A that makes φ an isomorphism of K -Hopf algebras.*

Proof. Define $\Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes_K A$ by the rule

$$\Delta_A(a) = (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})\Delta_H(\varphi(a)),$$

define $\epsilon_A : A \rightarrow K$ by the rule

$$\epsilon_A(a) = \epsilon_H(\varphi(a)),$$

and define $S_A : A \rightarrow A$ by the rule

$$S_A(a) = \varphi^{-1}S_H(\varphi(a)),$$

for $a \in A$.

Then $(A, m_A, \lambda_A, \Delta_A, \epsilon_A, S_A)$ is a K -Hopf algebra and φ is an isomorphism of K -Hopf algebras.

Now by Propositions 7.2 and 7.4, the composition of maps

$$\mathbb{Q}S_3 \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\psi^{-1}} H,$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -algebras.

Put $\varphi = \psi^{-1} \circ \phi$. Then by Proposition 9.2, there is a \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ with

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}S_3}(a) = (\varphi^{-1} \otimes \varphi^{-1})\Delta_H(\varphi(a)),$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{Q}S_3}(a) = \epsilon_H(\varphi(a)),$$

and


$$S_{\mathbb{Q}S_3}(a) = \varphi^{-1}S_H(\varphi(a)),$$


for $a \in \mathbb{Q}S_3$; φ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebras.


This \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ admits exactly one grouplike element (since H has only one grouplike).


Consequently, this \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ is distinct from the ordinary \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra structure on $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ (in which there are 6 grouplikes).





What is $\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}S_3}(\sigma)$?

 [1] L. N. Childs,
Taming Wild Extensions: Hopf Algebras and Local Galois
Module Theory,
AMS: Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **80**, (2000).

 [2] P. Clark,
Noncommutative Algebra
retrieved from the internet, May 2016.

 [3] R. Farnsteiner,
The Theorem of Wedderburn-Malcev: $H^2(A, N)$ and
extensions,
retrieved from the internet, August 2015.

 [4] C. Greither and B. Pareigis,
Hopf galois theory for separable field extensions,
J. Algebra, **106**, 239-258, (1987).

-  [5] A. Koch, T. Kohl, P. Truman, R. Underwood,
On the structure of Hopf algebras acting on separable
algebras,
working draft: April 13, 2016.
-  [6] J. Rotman,
Advanced Modern Algebra,
Pearson, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, (2002).
-  [7] J.-P. Serre,
Linear Representations of Finite Groups
GTM 42, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1977).
-  [8] J. Wedderburn,
On hypercomplex numbers,
Proc. London Math. Soc., **6**, 77-118, (1908).

Appendix: Decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}S_3$ (Computer Solution)

```
gap> LoadPackage("wedderga");
true

gap> QG:=GroupRing(Rationals,SymmetricGroup(3));
<algebra-with-one over Rationals, with 2 generators>

gap> WedderburnDecomposition(QG);

[ Rationals, Rationals, <crossed product with center
Rationals over CF(3) of a group of size 2> ]

gap> WedderburnDecompositionInfo(QG);

[ [ 1, Rationals ], [ 1, Rationals ], [ 1, Rationals,
3, [ 2, 2, 0 ] ] ]
```

What this means is that

$$\mathbb{Q}S_3 \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\omega)[x : \omega x = x\omega^2, x^2 = 1],$$

where ω is a primitive 3rd root of unity; $\{1, \omega, x, \omega x\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for the component $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)[x : \omega x = x\omega^2, x^2 = 1]$.

Now, the companion matrix of the polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$ is

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and the companion matrix of } x^2 - 1 \text{ is}$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Moreover, } WX = XW^2.$$

As one can check, $\{I_2, W, X, WX\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, thus as rings,

$$\mathbb{Q}(\omega)[x : \omega x = x\omega^2, x^2 = 1] \cong \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{Q}S_3 \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$